

1 Kernels

For a function $k(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j)$ to be a valid kernel, it suffices to show either of the following conditions is true:

1. k has an inner product representation: $\exists \Phi : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$, where \mathcal{H} is some (possibly infinite-dimensional) inner product space such that $\forall \mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $k(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j) = \langle \Phi(\mathbf{x}_i), \Phi(\mathbf{x}_j) \rangle$.
2. For every sample $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the Gram matrix

$$K = \begin{bmatrix} k(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_1) & \cdots & k(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_n) \\ \vdots & k(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j) & \vdots \\ k(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_1) & \cdots & k(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_n) \end{bmatrix}$$

is positive semidefinite. For the following parts you can use either condition (1) or (2) in your proofs.

- (a) Show that the first condition implies the second one, i.e. if $\forall \mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $k(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j) = \langle \Phi(\mathbf{x}_i), \Phi(\mathbf{x}_j) \rangle$ then the Gram matrix K is PSD.
- (b) Given two valid kernels k_a and k_b , show that their linear combination

$$k(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j) = \alpha k_a(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j) + \beta k_b(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j)$$

is a valid kernel, where $\alpha \geq 0$ and $\beta \geq 0$.

- (c) Given a valid kernel k_a , show that

$$k(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j) = f(\mathbf{x}_i)f(\mathbf{x}_j)k_a(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j)$$

is a valid kernel.

- (d) Given a positive semidefinite matrix A , show that $k(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j) = \mathbf{x}_i^\top A \mathbf{x}_j$ is a valid kernel.
- (e) Show why $k(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j) = \mathbf{x}_i^\top (\text{rev}(\mathbf{x}_j))$ (where $\text{rev}(x)$ reverses the order of the components in x) is *not* a valid kernel.
- (f) In the kernel ridge regression problem in homework 4, one could reach the conclusion that when there is no normalization factor ($\lambda = 0$), the solution of kernel ridge regression can be computed by:

$$\underset{\alpha}{\operatorname{argmin}} \left[\frac{1}{2} \alpha^T \mathbf{K} \alpha \right]$$

where $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^T$ and $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ is the kernelized feature matrix. In this case, why \mathbf{K} is a valid kernel important? Assume that \mathbf{K} is computed by applying a kernel function k on every sample pair: $k(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j)$.

2 Multivariate Gaussians: A review

(a) Consider a two dimensional random variable $Z \in \mathbb{R}^2$. In order for the random variable to be jointly Gaussian, a necessary and sufficient condition is that

- Z_1 and Z_2 are each marginally Gaussian, and
- $Z_1|Z_2 = z$ is Gaussian, and $Z_2|Z_1 = z$ is Gaussian.

A second characterization of a jointly Gaussian RV Z is that it can be written as $Z = AX$, where X is a collection of i.i.d. standard normal RVs and $A \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$ is a matrix.

Note that the probability density function of a Gaussian RV is:

$$f(z) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})\right) / \sqrt{(2\pi)^k |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|}$$

Let X_1 and X_2 be i.i.d. standard normal RVs. Let U denote a random variable uniformly distributed on $\{-1, 1\}$, independent of everything else. Verify if the conditions of the first characterization hold for the following random variables, and calculate the covariance matrix $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_Z$.

- $Z_1 = X_1$ and $Z_2 = X_2$.
 - $Z_1 = X_1$ and $Z_2 = X_1 + X_2$. (Use the second characterization to argue joint Gaussianity.)
 - $Z_1 = X_1$ and $Z_2 = -X_1$.
 - $Z_1 = X_1$ and $Z_2 = UX_1$.
- (b) Use the above example to show that two Gaussian random variables can be uncorrelated, but not independent. On the other hand, show that two uncorrelated, jointly Gaussian RVs are independent.
- (c) With the setup above, let $Z = VX$, where $V \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$, and $Z, X \in \mathbb{R}^2$. What is the covariance matrix $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_Z$? Is this also true for a RV other than Gaussian?
- (d) Use the above setup to show that $X_1 + X_2$ and $X_1 - X_2$ are independent. Give another example pair of linear combinations that are independent.

- (e) Given a jointly Gaussian RV $Z \in \mathbb{R}^2$ with covariance matrix $\Sigma_Z = \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_{11} & \Sigma_{12} \\ \Sigma_{12} & \Sigma_{22} \end{bmatrix}$, how would you derive the distribution of $Z_1|Z_2 = z$?

Hint: The following identity may be useful

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{b}{c} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \left(a - \frac{b^2}{c}\right)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{c} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\frac{b}{c} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$